A. COURSE DESCRIPTION
This seminar considers architecture’s articulation with modern and contemporary geopolitical transformations; developing regimes of circulation of people, goods, and information; planetary environmental crises, and other shifting realities broadly considered under the paradigm of globalization. We will seek to develop new understandings of architecture’s relationship to the locations in which they are built and the territories they in turn help shape—a relationship that has many times been simplified within disciplinary discourses and that lends itself as an area requiring expanded inquiry in light of the aforementioned transformations. We will consider this relationship as culturally defined, materially articulated, economically shaped, politically constructed and conditioned environmentally.
A key premise of the course is that architectural interventions are not naturally and neutrally related to their locations, but are rather active agents in what different political theorists and anthropologists have called the “production of localities” in the contemporary global world. We will particularly consider the different orders organizing the territories within which architecture operates (from diplomacy to tourism, from preservation to humanitarianism and environmentalism), as well as a number of figures consolidating the transactions that it mediates: networks, borders, and camps.

B. CALENDAR
Week 1 (1/13): Introduction
FOUNDATIONS
Week 2 (1/20): Displacements, Disjunctures, Frictions
Week 3 (1/27): Regional, National, Global Architecture_Assignment 1
Week 4 (2/3): From the Metropolis to the Global City
Week 5 (2/10): Global Practices and their Discontents_Assignment 2
ORDERS
Week 6 (2/17): Exhibitionism and Preservation
Week 7 (2/24): NO CLASS (EXAM WEEK)_Assignment 3
Week 8 (3/3): NO CLASS (SPRING BREAK)
Week 9 (3/10): Diplomacy and Tourism
Week 10 (3/17): Developmentalism, Humanitarianism, and War
Week 11 (3/24): Environmentalism_Assignment 4
FIGURES
Week 12 (3/31): Networks
Week 13 (4/7): Borders
Week 14 (4/14): Camps
Week 15 (4/19-To be confirmed): Assignment 5: Presentations
(4/23-To be confirmed): Assignment 6: Final Papers due
C. LEARNING OBJECTIVES

Throughout the development of this advanced seminar, students should be able to:
1. Demonstrate the capacity to read texts critically, locate arguments, and advance sophisticated responses.
2. Demonstrate discussion skills, including the ability to contribute to collective forms of inquiry, analysis, and criticism.
3. Demonstrate advanced presentation competence, including diverse modes of oral presentation and the use of visual material.
4. Demonstrate writing skills in a diversity of formats, including quick responses, condensed abstracts, close readings, and long research papers.
5. Formulate and develop research concerning contemporary architecture practices and link it to relevant contemporary discourses.
6. Situate architecture within cultural, economic, and social processes.
7. Develop an understanding of key concepts and topics addressing architecture’s relationship with modern and contemporary global regimes.
8. Craft arguments concerning architecture’s changing relationship with place and context.

The width of the line crossing the “Red Castle” in Battir, by Forensis
D. COURSE REQUIREMENTS AND ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Prerequisites
This course is open to Seniors in the Architecture Department. Senior students in other Department might register, but need to consult with the instructor. Junior students might exceptionally be admitted, but need to consult with the instructor as well.

Attendance
Attendance to all course meetings is mandatory. Absences due to acute illness, a personal crisis, religious observance, or for other reasons of comparable gravity may be excused. In all such cases, students must promptly email their instructor to communicate the reason for their absence and to arrange an opportunity to review any important information they may have missed. Unexcused absences will adversely affect a student's participation grade.

Participation
Participation in seminar discussions is a key component of the class. Discussions are central to advance both individual and collective understanding of the course materials as well as to rehearse new arguments and lines of research. The use of laptops and other devices should be reduced in as much as possible and is only allowed to consult class readings and notes.

Weekly Responses
In preparation to seminar sessions, students should prepare a 150 to 250-word response to the readings. Responses should demonstrate that students have read the assigned texts critically and have been able to understand the main arguments at stake in the session. Rather than a summary of each individual reading, responses should highlight the main arguments of the session, relate the readings among them, highlight critical terms or passages. You can conclude your response either with a question that aims to generate discussion, a passage of the texts that you want to discuss, or an image with which you want to illustrate an argument central to the session. You can also conclude with some personal reflection that links the readings to larger contemporary challenges or personal concerns.

Responses should be posted the night before the class (by midnight) in online discussion boards prepared on Courseworks. Responses posted later than noon the day of the class will not count towards the grade. Students can miss one response throughout the semester. Responses will be evaluated on their capacity to analyze the texts, synthesize a response, and highlight areas of discussion.
**Term Paper**

The term assignment will consist on a 2000 to 2500-word paper exploring a particular project or practice operating in relation to contemporary global territories. Papers should analyze how those projects or practices participate in the definition of new territorial configurations, either aligned with global trends or actively operating to subvert them. Papers should advance seminar discussions concerning architecture’s changing relations to their locations. Students’ capacity to explore new arguments that appeal both to the particular case considered and to larger disciplinary discussions are encouraged. The research will be developed throughout the semester and will be guided discussed in class. Students are invited to discuss the case study in class.

Assignment 1 [Due Week 3 in class, hardcopy]: Two images of the project or practice that will concern your research, with a paragraph explanation for each of them (aprox. 150-200 words). The images should represent this project or practice at different scales. Images should be described in detailed and analyzed in depth, rather than considered as mere illustrations of arguments obtained somewhere else. You are invited to describe both their content and representational techniques, in order to understand how a specific place, project, or practice is represented, by whom, and for what purposes.

Assignment 2 [Due Week 5 in class, hardcopy]: Two documents that you want to mobilize to analyze your case study, with a paragraph explanation for each of them (aprox. 150-200 words). These documents can include architect’s statements, legal frameworks, political programs, newspaper clips, promotional materials of a specific project, etc. These documents can include books or article journals if these can be considered as primary sources, that is, texts that are written by authors who are directly related to the project or practice discussed or provide a first-hand reaction to it. These documents and primary sources should be critically analyzed rather than used merely to extract information. Students should consider the language each of them uses to describe a particular context or project as well as the types of documents and graphic strategies with which they are presented.

Assignment 3 [Due week 7, 2/24 at 10:00AM, Word Document sent my email]: Revised assignments 1 and 2, a 500 word abstract advancing the arguments that you want to explore through the analysis of these images and documents, and a list of 6 books or journal articles that have been consulted in preparation of the abstract.

Assignment 4 [Due Week 11 in class, hardcopy]: Annotated bibliography including a minimum of 6 sources. The bibliography should help frame the case study, with titles that directly analyzing the project studied, the context where its located, or the histories with which it is related. At least two sources should demonstrate the student’s awareness of critical histories with which their paper is in conversation and should help locate the argument within larger scholarly debates. Four sources should be annotated with a short description between 50-100 words and two with a longer description between 200-250 words.
Assignments

Assignment 5 [Week 15, 4/19-To be confirmed, in-class presentation. Power Point due in the morning]: 15 min presentation including visual material. Papers should present the project or practice in question, propose a main research topic or question, develop it with adequate primary evidences and secondary bibliography, and locate it within larger scholarly debates. Following the convention of academic presentations in the humanities, papers can be read. You should practice reading your paper out loud several times before the class presentation. An approximate length for a 15min. presentation is 1800 words.

Assignment 6 [Due 4/23-To be confirmed at 10:00AM, PDF sent my email]: 2000-2500 word final paper. The paper might include feedback from the class presentation and clarify pending questions. It should additionally include footnotes and images with the proper captions. The adequate use of sources is very important in this last presentation of the project. Footnotes should be formatted following the Chicago Manual of Style.

Grading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Responses</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 1</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 2</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 3 Midterm Submission</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment 5 Term Paper Presentation</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term Paper Final Submission</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statement from the Office of Disability Services
If you are a student with a documented disability and require academic accommodations, you must visit the Office of Disability Services (ODS) for assistance. Students requesting eligible accommodations in their courses will need to first meet with an ODS staff member for an intake meeting. Once registered, students are required to visit ODS each semester to set up new accommodations and learn how to notify faculty. Accommodations are not retroactive, so it is best to register with ODS early each semester to access your accommodations. If you are registered with ODS, please see me to schedule a meeting outside of class in which you can bring me your faculty notification letter and we can discuss your accommodations for this course. Students are not eligible to use their accommodations in this course until they have met with me. Barnard ODS is located in Milbank Hall, Room 008. Columbia ODS is located in Wien Hall, Suite 108A.

Wellness Statement
It is important for undergraduates to recognize and identify the different pressures, burdens, and stressors you may be facing, whether personal, emotional, physical, financial, mental, or academic. We as a community urge you to make yourself--your own health, sanity, and wellness--your priority throughout this term and your career here. Sleep, exercise, and eating well can all be a part of a healthy regimen to cope with stress. Resources exist to support you in several sectors of your life, and we encourage you to make use of them. For more information about available resources:
- Barnard Students: http://barnard.edu/wellwoman
- Columbia Students: http://www.college.columbia.edu/resources Click on Health-Wellness
- Columbia GS Students: https://gs.columbia.edu/health-and-wellness
- Columbia SEAS Students: http://gradengineering.columbia.edu/campus-resources

Honor Code
The Barnard Honor Code applies to all students in this class regardless of academic affiliation. Approved by the student body in 1912 and updated in 2016, the Code states:

We, the students of Barnard College, resolve to uphold the honor of the College by engaging with integrity in all of our academic pursuits. We affirm that academic integrity is the honorable creation and presentation of our own work. We acknowledge that it is our responsibility to seek clarification of proper forms of collaboration and use of academic resources in all assignments or exams. We consider academic integrity to include the proper use and care for all print, electronic, or other academic resources. We will respect the rights of others to engage in pursuit of learning in order to uphold our commitment to honor. We pledge to do all that is in our power to create a spirit of honesty and honor for its own sake.

The Columbia College Honor Code and the Columbia College Faculty Statement on Academic Integrity can be viewed here:
https://www.college.columbia.edu/honorcode
https://www.college.columbia.edu/faculty/resourcesforinstructors/academicintegrity/statement
http://www.college.columbia.edu/academics/academicdishonesty